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$\mathrm{LiK}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / c$, with ccll dimensions:

$$
a=8 \cdot 669 \pm 0.003, b=14 \cdot 497 \pm 0.004, c=7 \cdot 634 \pm 0.006 \AA ; \beta=99^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \pm 3^{\prime}, Z=4 .
$$

The structure was determined from a three-dimensional Patterson function, and refined by electrondensity syntheses and complete matrix least squares. The final $K$ value for 1,011 independent observed reflections is 0.099 .

The trimetaphosphate anion consists of three orthophosphate tetrahedra joined at corners to form a six-membered ring of alternate phosphorus and oxygen atoms in a chair configuration. The phosphate tetrahedra are nearly regular. The two potassium ions have irregular coordination figures. One is surrounded by six oxygen atoms from five different anions and the water molecule. The other is surrounded by eight oxygens, two oxygens from each of four different anions. The lithium ion is found at the center of a fairly regular tetrahedron formed by three oxygens and the water. The cation polyhedra are linked at edges and corners to produce equal cohesion in all directions, thus accounting for the lack of cleavage.

## Introduction

X-ray, spectroscopic, and chemical evidence (Van Wazer, 1958; Simon \& Steger, 1954a, b) indicates that the trimetaphosphate anion is a ring formed by three phosphate tetrahedra sharing corner oxygen atoms. The X-ray structure work on trimetaphosphate salts previously reported (Caglioti, Giacomello \& Bianchi, 1942; Raistrick, 1949) merely indicated the possible existence of such a ring. As part of a study of trimetaphosphate salts, the determination of the structure of $\mathrm{LiK}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was undertaken in order to provide accurate information concerning this anion.

## Experimental data

To prepare the lithium dipotassium salt, sodium trimetaphosphate sesquihydrate (Ondik \& Gryder, 1960) was first converted to $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}$, using an excess of $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ to prevent the formation of double salts. A slurry of the silver salt was metathesized with LiCl and KCl in a $1: 2$ molar ratio. After filtering to remove the AgCl , the product was precipitated from solution by adding ethanol. Single crystals were obtained by inserting one ml . of a $2 \%$ aqueous solution under 20 ml . of ethanol in a test tube and permitting diffusion to take place at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for a few days.

* Based on a thesis submitted to the Johns Hopkins University by E. D. Eanes in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.
$\dagger$ Present address, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

The crystals are lath-like in habit, with approximately the following dimensions: $0.01 \times 0.08 \times 0.70$ mm . Precession photographs were taken to determine the cell dimensions and space group. The cell dimensions were refined by a least squares treatment of powder data recorded on a Geiger Counter diffractometer, using $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha_{1}$ radiation ( $1.5405 \AA$ ). The final values are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=8 \cdot 669 \pm 0 \cdot 003, b=14 \cdot 497 \pm 0 \cdot 004 \\
& c=7 \cdot 634 \pm 0 \cdot 006 \AA ; \beta=99^{\circ} \tilde{5} 3^{\prime} \pm 3^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

The limits given are the standard errors computed by the least squares program. The space group is $P 2_{1} / \dot{c}$, since $h 0 l$ reflections occur only with $l$ even, and $0 k 0$ only with $k$ even. The density measured pyenometrically is $2.33 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm} .^{-3}$. The calculated number of formula units per cell is $3 \cdot 88$. With $Z=4$, the calculated density is $2.40 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm} .^{-3}$. The difficulty in completely removing air trapped in the mass of loosely-packed, lath-like crystals would account for the rather low experimental value for the density.

The length of the crystals is parallel to [001] and the dominant form is the (100) pinacoid. The crystals are colorless, biaxial positive with $2 V$ estimated at $42^{\circ}$. The optic normal is parallel to $b$. The acute bisectrix $\gamma$ makes an angle of $12^{\circ}$ with $c$ in the obtuse angle $\beta$. The indices of refraction, measured in white transmitted light using oil immersion techniques, are:

$$
n_{\alpha}=1 \cdot 486, n_{\beta}=1 \cdot 490, n_{\gamma}=1 \cdot 500, \text { all } \pm 0 \cdot 002
$$

(A. Van Valkenburg, priv. comm.).

Integrated equi-inclination Weissenberg photographs were taken of layers $l=0$ to 6 and of the $0 k l$ layer, with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation. The usual multiple-film techniques were used. A densitometer-comparator was used to measure the intensities, supplemented by visual estimation of the very weak spots. Lorentz and polarization factor corrections were made, but absorption corrections were considered unnecessary because of the small size of the crystals. A fragment approximately $0.01 \times 0.08 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$. was used in obtaining the 0 kl data.

## Determination of the structure

Neither trimetaphosphate anions nor individual P or $O$ atoms could be expected to occupy centers of symmetry, the special positions of $P 2_{1} / c$, and the intensity data did not indicate that the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ions should occupy them. That these atoms occupy 4 -fold general positions was verified upon examination of a threedimensional Patterson function. Trial parameters for K, P, and O were derived from the Patterson. Direct reference to the Harker sections $P\left(x, \frac{1}{2}, z\right)$ and $P\left(0, y, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ did not prove fruitful because of extreme amounts of overlap and parameters were deduced using various sets of non-Harker peaks.

Assuming the anion to be a ring, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ ring vectors were identified. These peaks corresponded to vectors between P atoms which form a triangle about $3 \AA$ on an edge. A set of four $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{K}_{\text {II }}$ peaks established the two $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ion positions. Two sets of $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{P}$ vectors were used to locate the ring within the asymmetric unit. These sets each contain two peaks separated by a vector equal to one of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ ring vectors. The peaks have relative weights and are at suitable distances for K-P interactions. Oxygen parameters were established by considering appropriate $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ vectors which would form fairly regular oxygen
tetrahedra about the $\mathbf{P}$ atoms giving rise to a ring configuration.

Several cycles of $h k 0$ structure factor calculations and electron density syntheses partially refined the $x$ and $y$ values. An isolated peak appeared which was correctly assumed to be the water molecule. With an assumed $B$ of 1.5 for each atom, the $x$ and $y$ values were refined by a complete-matrix least squares procedure. The resulting $R(h k 0)$ equalled $0 \cdot 11$. The $0 k l$ data were used to refine the $z$ parameters, first by Fourier methods, and then by least squares. The $R(0 k l)=0 \cdot 14$. Several least squares cycles using all 1,011 independent observed reflections, varying both position and temperature parameters, resulted in an $R(h k l)$ of $0 \cdot 10$.

To locate the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ion, a three-dimensional difference synthesis was computed, using the ( $F_{o}-F_{c}$ ) values obtained from the last least squares cycle. The largest peak was one of density 2.0 e. $\AA^{-3}$, the maximum value of the rest of the synthesis being $0 \cdot 5 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$. This peak is at the center of a fairly regular tetrahedron formed by three oxygen atoms and a water molecule. Inclusion of the Li parameters in a final least squares refinement changed the $R(h k l)$ negligibly (to 0.099 ). In this refinement the total number of parameters varied was 72 , including $x, y, z$, and $B$ for each atom and a scale factor for each level of data. The final parameters are reported in Table 1.

The structure factors listed in Table 2 are the $F_{o}$ and $s F_{c}$ values obtained from the least squares program. The $s$ refers to the scale factors given in Table l. The final electron density projections $\varrho(y, z)$ and $\varrho(x, y)$, (Fig. 1), clearly show the overlap which prevented location of the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ion earlier in the struc. ture analysis.

Form factors for $\mathrm{P}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$calculated by Tomiie \& Stam (1958), for $\mathrm{O}^{0}$ from the tables of Berghuis et al. (1955), and for $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$from the tables of Freeman (1959)

Table 1. Final structure parameters

|  | $x$ |  | $\sigma(x)$ |  | $y$ |  | $\sigma(y)$ | $z$ | $\sigma(z)$ | $B$ | $\sigma(B)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {I }}$ | $0 \cdot 4895$ |  | $0 \cdot 0005$ |  | ().1558 |  | $0 \cdot 0003$ | 0.2125 | $0 \cdot 0005$ | $0 \cdot 99$ | $0 \cdot 07$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}$ | $0 \cdot 1705$ |  | $0 \cdot 0005$ |  | $0 \cdot 1789$ |  | $0 \cdot 0003$ | $0 \cdot 2742$ | $0 \cdot 0005$ | $1 \cdot 04$ | $0 \cdot 07$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}$ | $0 \cdot 2413$ |  | $0 \cdot 0005$ |  | $0 \cdot 0441$ |  | 0.0003 | $0 \cdot 0142$ | $0 \cdot 0005$ | $0 \cdot 90$ | $0 \cdot 07$ |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{I}}$ | $0 \cdot 8266$ |  | 0.000\% |  | $0 \cdot 2162$ |  | $0 \cdot 0003$ | 0.9510 | $0 \cdot 0004$ | $1 \cdot 70$ | ().07 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\text {I I }}$ | $0 \cdot 4580$ |  | 0.0005 |  | 0.0993 |  | $0 \cdot 0003$ | 0.6753 | $0 \cdot 0004$ | $1 \cdot 54$ | $0 \cdot 07$ |
| Li | $0 \cdot 0723$ |  | $0 \cdot 0030$ |  | $0 \cdot 1195$ |  | 0.0017 | $0 \cdot 6427$ | $0 \cdot 0026$ | $0 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 38$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ | $0 \cdot 1331$ |  | $0 \cdot 0014$ |  | $0 \cdot 1155$ |  | 0.0008 | 0.1019 | 0.0013 | ]•39 | 0.20 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ | $0 \cdot 4054$ |  | $0 \cdot 0014$ |  | $0 \cdot 1010$ |  | $0 \cdot 0008$ | $0 \cdot 0406$ | $0 \cdot 0012$ | $1 \cdot 26$ | 0.20 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ | $0 \cdot 3420$ |  | 0.0013 |  | $0 \cdot 2164$ |  | 0.0008 | $0 \cdot .2561$ | $0 \cdot 0012$ | $1 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 19$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\text {IV }}$ | 0. 5429 |  | $0 \cdot 0015$ |  | $0 \cdot 0879$ |  | $0 \cdot 0009$ | $0 \cdot 3590$ | $0 \cdot 0013$ | 1.80 | $0 \cdot 22$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{V}$ | $0 \cdot 5993$ |  | $0 \cdot 0015$ |  | 0.2.238 |  | $0 \cdot 0009$ | $0 \cdot 1531$ | $0 \cdot 0012$ | $1 \cdot 77$ | $0 \cdot 21$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\text {VI }}$ | $0 \cdot 1807$ |  | 0.0017 |  | (0.1331 |  | $0 \cdot 0009$ | $0 \cdot 4361$ | 0.0013 | $2 \cdot 04$ | $0 \cdot 23$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\text {VII }}$ | $0 \cdot 0583$ |  | 0.0017 |  | $0 \cdot 2424$ |  | $0 \cdot 0011$ | $0 \cdot 7402$ | 0.0014 | $2 \cdot 65$ | 0.25 |
| OV11I | 0.7349 |  | 0.0015 |  | $0 \cdot 0415$ |  | $0 \cdot 0009$ | 0.8768 | 0.0013 | 1.78 | (0.22 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\text {IX }}$ | $0 \cdot 1818$ |  | $0 \cdot 0016$ |  | 0.0398 |  | $0 \cdot 0009$ | 0.8258 | $0 \cdot 0014$ | $1 \cdot 86$ | $0 \cdot 23$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $0 \cdot 8498$ |  | 0.0019 |  | $0 \cdot 0917$ |  | 0.0011 | (0.5725 | 0.0015 | $3 \cdot 27$ | $0 \cdot 30$ |
| Scale factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $h k 0$ | $h k l$ |  | $h k \cdot 2$ |  | $h k 3$ | $h k 4$ | $h k T$ | hki | O kl |  |
|  | $0 \cdot 71$ | $0 \cdot 59$ |  | ().58 |  | $0 \cdot 65$ | 0.72 | 0.81 | 0.87 | 0.61 |  |


|  |  <br>  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br>  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  <br>  |
| ¢ |  <br>  <br>  |
| さ |  <br>  <br>  |
| T |  <br>  <br>  |
| T |  <br>  <br>  |
| [ |  <br>  <br>  |
| ह'̇ |  <br>  <br>  |
| E |  <br>  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  <br>  |

were used throughout. All computing was performed on a high speed digital computer. The least squares refinement of the cell dimensions was done using a program written by Dr Charles Burnham of The Geophysical Laboratory, Carnegie Institution of Washington. The Lorentz and polarization corrections, structure factor and Fourier calculations were done using programs written at the National Bureau of Standards. The least squares refinement and calculation of interatomic distances and angles were done using programs written at Oak Ridge by Busing \& Levy (1959a, b).

## Description of the structure

In a Raman study of solutions of sodium trimetaphosphate (Simon \& Steger, 1954), the ring exhibited $\overline{6} m 2\left(D_{3 h}\right)$ symmetry. In the lithium dipotassium salt the triangles formed by the three phosphorus atoms and the three ring oxygens are almost equilateral (P-P distances: $2 \cdot 90,2 \cdot 90,2 \cdot 92 \AA$; $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ distances: $2 \cdot 49,2 \cdot 46,2 \cdot 47 \AA$ ). The angle between the normals to the planes of the two triangles is only $3 \cdot 3^{\circ}$ (standard deviation, $\sigma,= \pm 0 \cdot 4^{\circ}$ ), indicating that the two planes are nearly parallel. The ring oxygens, however, are appreciably displaced from the plane of the phosphorus atoms, $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ by $0.29 \AA, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}$ by $0.38 \AA$, and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ by $0.42 \AA$. Therefore, in the lithium dipotassium salt, the trimetaphosphate anion does not even approach $\overline{6} m 2$ symmetry but does exhibit pseudo $3 m\left(C_{3 v}\right)$ symmetry, the chair (or trans-) form of a six-membered ring. The chair form of the ring can best be seen outlined on the electron density map of Fig. 1(a).
The anion, as viewed along $a$ and $c$, is outlined in Fig. 1, and as viewed along $b$, in Fig. 2. Distances


Fig. 1. Final electron density projections $\varrho(y, z)$ and $\varrho(x, y)$ for the asymmetric unit. Contours are drawn at arbitrary intervals. The atomic positions are those obtained from the final least squares refinement.


Fig. 2. The trimetaphosphate anion viewed along [010], with bond distances in $\AA$.
and angles within the anion (Table 3) are given with the same atom designation as in Fig. 2. These values are consistent with values reported in other condensed phosphate structures (Romers, Ketelaar \& MacGillavry, 1951; Corbridge, 1956; MacArthur \& Beevers, 1957; Davies \& Corbridge, 1958; Corbridge, 1960; Ondik, Block \& MacGillavry, 1961 ; Jost, 1961). Features common to these structures include the short $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ terminal bonds and the longer $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bridge bonds, the displacement of the phosphorus from the center of the tetrahedron toward the terminal oxygens and the regularity of the tetrahedral edges.

(a)

(b)

(c)

Fig. 3. The cation coordination figures viewed along [001j.
The coordination about the cations is shown in Fig. 3, and the distances in Table 4. The $K_{I}$ coordination figure (Fig. 3(a)) is formed by five terminal oxygen atoms, each from a different ring, the water molecule, and a bridge oxygen $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$. This polyhedron shares edges with its symmetry equivalents across the glide plane, forming chains along [001] (Fig. 4(a)). Oxygen atoms from four different anions are coordinated to $\mathrm{K}_{\text {II }}$ (Fig. 3(b)). Two anion rings contribute two terminal oxygen atoms each and the other two rings contribute one terminal and one bridge oxygen each. Two of these $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{O}$ polyhedra share an edge across a center of symmetry.
The lithium coordination figure is formed by three terminal oxygens, each from a different ring, and the

Table 3. Interatomic distances and bond angles in the trimetaphosphate anion

| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {I }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ | 1-596 A | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ | $2 \cdot 470$ A | $\mathrm{O}_{1 \mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {I }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ | $99.9{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}^{-} \mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ | 1.631 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{IV}}$ | 2.517 | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{P}^{\text {I }}$ - $\mathrm{O}_{\text {IV }}$ | 108.7 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{IV}}$ | 1.500 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}^{-}-\mathrm{O}_{5}}$ | $2 \cdot 497$ | $\mathrm{O}_{1 \mathrm{II}^{-}-\mathrm{P}^{-}-\mathrm{O}_{V}}$ | 107.8 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$ | $1 \cdot 494$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {IV }}$ | 2.574 | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {IV }}$ | $110 \cdot 6$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}^{-} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}}$ | 1.589 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$ | 2.491 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}} \mathrm{P}^{-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{V}}}$ | $105 \cdot 6$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ | 1.610 | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {IV }}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | $2 \cdot 618$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {IV }}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$ | 122.0 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {VI }}$ | 1.466 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}$ | $2 \cdot 462$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ | $100 \cdot 6$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {VII }}$ | I-493 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ | $2 \cdot 511$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{OVI}^{\text {l }}$ | 110.5 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ | 1.616 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {VII }}$ | $2 \cdot 451$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {VII }}$ | $105 \cdot 3$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{11 \mathrm{I}} \mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ | 1.626 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ | 2.515 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{Ov}_{\mathrm{vi}}$ | 109.6 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {VIII }}$ | 1.488 | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {VII }}$ | 2.514 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VII}}$ | 108.2 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}} \mathrm{O}_{\text {IX }}$ | 1.441 | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {viI }}$ | 2.571 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VI}^{-}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {VII }}}$ | 120.7 |
| $\sigma= \pm 0.013 \AA$ |  | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ | 2.492 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{P}^{\text {PIII}}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ | $100 \cdot 4$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{I}^{-} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{OVIIII}$ | $2 \cdot 540$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {VIII }}$ | 109.8 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}$ | $2.902 \AA$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{IX}}$ | 2.472 | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{IX}}$ | 107.8 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}$ | $2 \cdot 901$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {VIII }}$ | 2.531 | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {VIII }}$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}$ | 2.921 | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {IX }}$ | $2 \cdot 476$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {IX }}$ | 107.5 |
| $\sigma= \pm 0.007 \AA$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \sigma= \pm 0.016 \AA \\ \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VIII}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{IX}} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VIII}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{IX}}$ | $120 \cdot 8$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}$ | 131.4 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{11}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}$ | 128.3 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 127.1 |

water oxygen (Fig. 3(c)). It is almost a regular tetrahedron, as evidenced by the $\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{O}$ distances, and the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ distances which vary from 2.98 to $3.27 \AA$ ( $\sigma= \pm 0.02 \AA$ ) (Table 4). The $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ion temperature factor, $0 \cdot 60$, the smallest of all the $B$ values in Table 1, indicates that the ion is within a rigid coordination figure.

Through edge and corner sharing, the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{II}}$, and Li polyhedra are linked to form a complex network


Fig. 4. Linkage of the cation coordination figures. The asymmetric unit is outlined by the heavier line. (a) The glideequivalent $K_{I}$ and coordinated $O$ atoms forming vertical chains along $c$. ( $b$ ) Network parallel to (001) formed by the cations and oxygens. Only coordination figures in adjacent units joined directly to those in the asymmetric unit are shown. $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ have been omitted to simplify the picture since they do not link cation polyhedra. The dashed lines indicate that the coordination involves oxygen atoms that are related by a $c$ translation to those in the asymmetric unit.
roughly parallel to the $a b$ plane as is shown in Fig. $4(b)$. Only the terminal oxygens and the water are involved in this linkage and to simplify Fig. $4(b)$ only these atoms are shown. The network combined with the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{I}}$ polyhedral chains form a complex three-dimensional linkage of the polyhedra. The resulting cohesion is fairly uniform in all directions, accounting for the absence of cleavage.

Table 4. Interatomic distances in the cation coordination polyhedra

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}} & 3.076 \AA \\
\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{V}} & 2.703 \\
\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{I}^{-}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{V}}} & 2.873 \\
\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{I}^{-}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VII}}} & 2.804 \\
\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VII}} & 2.779 \\
\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VIII}} & 2.684 \\
\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & 2.931 \\
\sigma= \pm 0.014 \AA
\end{array} \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

The hydrogens of the water molecule are probably bonded to the $\mathrm{O}_{\text {IV }}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {VIII }}$ atoms $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {IV }}, 2.875 \AA\right.$; $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {viII }}, 2.772 \AA ; \sigma= \pm 0.020$ ). The $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{IV}}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {viII }}$ angle is $92^{\circ}$, which would indicate that the hydrogen bonds are probably non-linear. No exact hydrogen positions could be derived from the difference synthesis, but the map did contain positive areas consistent with the postulated hydrogen bonds.

The authors would like to thank Mrs Marlene C. Morris of the National Bureau of Standards for refining the cell dimensions and Mr A. Van Valkenburg for obtaining the optical data.
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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of sulphanilic acid mono-hydrate has been solved by a combination of Patterson and Fourier syntheses on two projections. These have been refined using difference syntheses to accuracies of $12 \cdot 3 \%(h k 0)$ and $14 \cdot 6 \%(0 k l)$ in $R$. The molecules have been shown to pack with their benzeno rings in parallel layers $3 \cdot 4 \AA$ apart. The charged groups and water molecule are connected by a series of hydrogen bonds and this system is compared with that existing in sulphamic acid.


## 1. Introduction

The crystal structure of sulphanilic acid mono-hydrate, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, is of interest in relation to a programme of work on the structural properties of charged groups which is in progress in this laboratory. That sulphanilic acid exists in the zwitterion configuration in solution is known from a variety of chemical evidence, of which the work on dissociation constants by Kumler (1955) is perhaps the most conclusive. It is reasonable in the light of work on similar structures-particularly sulphamic acid-to presume that this configuration persists in the solid state.

Structural investigations on sulphamic acid $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+} \mathrm{SO}_{3}^{-}\right)$, by X-ray diffraction (Kanda \& King, 1951; Osaki et al., 1955) and neutron diffraction (Sass, 1960) have revealed the interactions of the charged groups and the details of the hydrogen bond system in that molecule.

The present work was undertaken in order to investigate the changes, if any, which take place in these interactions when the charged groups are separated by a benzene ring.

## 2. Experimental

Two crystalline habits for sulphanilic acid have been reported (Hodgman et al., 1955)-elongated monoclinic laths and rhombic plates. Crystals of the first form were obtained by precipitation from a hot saturated aqueous solution and the rhombic crystals when a cold solution was allowed to evaporate. Both forms were initially transparent, but decomposed quickly to white microcrystalline aggregates when exposed to air.

Although Hodgman et al. (1955) indicate that the monoclinic crystals contain two molecules of water of crystallization per molecule compared with one for the rhombic form, X-ray photography showed that both forms are chemically identical. They have the same space group, cell dimensions and distribution of intensities, differing only in the development of their crystal faces. A density measurement-see belowshowed that the crystals contain one molecule of water per molecule.

The cell dimensions were measured using the backreflection technique, modified for the Weissenberg camera. A crystal, mounted about a non-unique axis,

